

SEMIREGULAR AUTOMORPHISMS OF CUBIC VERTEX-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

JOY MORRIS, PABLO SPIGA, AND GABRIEL VERRET

ABSTRACT. We characterise connected cubic graphs admitting a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms with an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular. We illustrate the utility of this result by using it to prove that the order of a semiregular subgroup of maximum order in a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of a connected cubic graph grows with the order of the graph, settling [2, Problem 6.3].

1. INTRODUCTION

All the graphs and groups considered in this paper are finite. A useful tool in the theory of group actions on graphs is the *abelian normal quotient method*. This is used to study (and possibly classify) a family of pairs (Γ, G) having certain additional properties, where Γ is a finite graph and G is a subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of Γ . (For example, the family consisting of the pairs (Γ, G) where Γ is a finite (G, s) -arc-transitive graph, see [5].) To use this method, one generally splits the analysis into three cases, as follows:

- (1) G has no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups;
- (2) G has an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular;
- (3) G has an abelian normal subgroup that is semiregular.

This method is inductive: cases (1) and (2) serve as the basis for the induction, while case (3) can be treated as a reduction. The abelian normal quotient method is a variant of the usual *normal quotient method*, which already has an impressive pedigree (for example, see [5, 6, 11, 12]).

In the usual normal quotient method, one considers arbitrary normal subgroups rather than only abelian ones. Compared to this, the abelian variant trades a potentially more difficult basis of induction to obtain an easier reduction step. It seems that, in practice, this is often an advantageous trade-off and many recent papers have used this approach (see for example [4, 7, 9, 15, 17]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20B25, Secondary 05E18.

Key words and phrases. semiregular automorphism, normal quotient, cubic graph.

This work was supported in part by the first author's grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The third author is supported by UWA as part of the Australian Research Council grant DE130101001.

We now give a few more details concerning this method. In case (1), G has trivial soluble radical. Such a group has some well-known properties: its socle is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups and the group acts faithfully on its socle by conjugation. In particular, in case (1), the Classification of Finite Simple Groups can be brought to bear on the problem to obtain very detailed information.

Similarly, the situation in case (2) is surprisingly restrictive and very strong results can often be proved under this hypothesis. Consider, for example, the following theorem due to Praeger and Xu (the graphs which appear in the statement will be defined in Section 2):

Theorem 1.1 ([13, Theorem 1]). *Let Λ be a connected 4-valent G -arc-transitive graph. If G has an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular then $\Lambda \cong \text{PX}(2, r, s)$ for some $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$.*

Clearly, Theorem 1.1 is very useful when applying the abelian normal quotient method to 4-valent arc-transitive graphs, as it deals with case (2) as satisfactorily as one could hope for, that is, giving a complete classification of the possible graphs. (For examples of applications, see [9, 15, 16].)

One of our goals is to prove the following analogue of Theorem 1.1 for cubic vertex-transitive graphs (the graphs which appear in Theorem 1.2 will be defined in Section 2):

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a connected cubic G -vertex-transitive graph. If G has an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular then Γ is isomorphic to one of \mathbf{K}_4 , $\mathbf{K}_{3,3}$, \mathbf{Q}_3 or $\text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$ for some $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$.*

Much like Theorem 1.1 with respect to 4-valent arc-transitive graphs, Theorem 1.2 will be very useful when applying the abelian normal quotient method to cubic vertex-transitive graphs. To illustrate this usefulness, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that, if Γ is a connected G -vertex-transitive cubic graph of order n then G contains a semiregular subgroup of order at least $f(n)$.*

Theorem 1.3 settles positively the conjecture posed in [2, Problem 6.3]. Note that, contrary to what is claimed in the statement of [2, Problem 6.3], the conjecture in [1, Problem BCC 17.12] (which also appeared in [3] as Conjecture 2) is actually stronger. Namely, [1, Problem BCC 17.12] strengthens [2, Problem 6.3] by considering only cyclic semiregular subgroups.

Despite the fact that [2, Problem 6.3] has a positive solution, [1, Problem BCC 17.12] was recently shown to be false by the second author [14]. Note also that Theorem 1.3 has appeared previously in [7], however the proof in that paper contains a critical mistake (in the proof of Claim 2, on the last page).

Remark 1.4. In our proof of Theorem 1.3 we do not make any effort to optimise or even keep track of the most rapidly growing function f satisfying

the hypothesis. Our current proof shows that $f(n)$ can be taken to be $\log(\log(n))$. However, we believe this is far from best possible. In fact, we conjecture that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $f(n)$ can be taken to be n^c . In some sense, this is best possible as it was shown in [3] that $f(n) \leq n^{1/3}$, for infinitely many values of n .

The notation used throughout this paper is standard. If Γ is a graph and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we say that Γ is G -vertex-transitive (respectively, G -arc-transitive) if G acts transitively on the vertices (respectively, arcs) of Γ . If v is a vertex of Γ , the neighbourhood of v is denoted by $\Gamma(v)$, the stabiliser of v in G is denoted by G_v and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ denotes the permutation group induced by G_v in its action on $\Gamma(v)$.

Let Γ be a G -vertex-transitive graph and let N be a normal subgroup of G . For every vertex v , the N -orbit containing v is denoted by v^N . The *normal quotient graph* Γ/N has the N -orbits on $V(\Gamma)$ as vertices, with an edge between distinct vertices v^N and w^N if and only if there is an edge of Γ between v' and w' , for some $v' \in v^N$ and some $w' \in w^N$. Note that G has an induced transitive action on the vertices of Γ/N . Moreover, it is easily seen that the valency of Γ/N is less or equal to the valency of Γ .

The dihedral group of order $2r$ is denoted by D_r . It is usually viewed as a permutation group of degree r in the natural way.

The remainder of our paper is divided as follows: in Section 2, we define the graphs which appear in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, prove some useful results about them, and prove Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 3.

2. PRAEGER-XU GRAPHS AND THEIR SPLIT GRAPHS

We first define the graphs $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ and prove some useful results about them.

Definition 2.1. Let r and s be positive integers with $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$. The graph $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ has vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ and edge-set $\{ \{(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, x), (n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, n_s, x + 1)\} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, x \in \mathbb{Z}_r \}$.

Here is another description of these graphs that is more geometric and sometimes easier to work with. First, the graph $\text{PX}(2, r, 1)$ is the lexicographic product of a cycle of length r and an edgeless graph on two vertices. In other words, $V(\text{PX}(2, r, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ with (u, x) being adjacent to (v, y) if and only if $x - y \in \{-1, 1\}$. Next, a path in $\text{PX}(2, r, 1)$ is called *traversing* if it contains at most one vertex from $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \{y\}$, for each $y \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Finally, for $s \geq 2$, the graph $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ has vertex-set the set of traversing paths of $\text{PX}(2, r, 1)$ of length $s - 1$, with two such paths being adjacent in $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ if and only if their union is a traversing path of length s in $\text{PX}(2, r, 1)$.

It is not hard to see that this is equivalent to the original definition and that $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ is a connected 4-valent graph with $r2^s$ vertices. Observe that there is a natural action of the wreath product $W := \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ wr } D_r = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ as a group of automorphisms of $\text{PX}(2, r, 1)$ with an induced faithful

arc-transitive action on $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$, for every s . Specifically, W acts on $V(\text{PX}(2, r, s)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ in the following way: for $g = (g_0, \dots, g_{r-1}, h) \in W$ (with $g_0, \dots, g_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $h \in D_r$), we have

$$(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, x)^g = (n_0^{g_x}, n_1^{g_{x+1}}, \dots, n_{s-1}^{g_{x+s-1}}, x^h),$$

where the indices are taken modulo r . We will also need the concept of an arc-transitive cycle decomposition, which was studied in some detail in [8].

Definition 2.2. A *cycle* in a graph is a connected regular subgraph of valency 2. A *cycle decomposition* \mathcal{C} of a graph Λ is a set of cycles in Λ such that each edge of Λ belongs to exactly one cycle in \mathcal{C} . If there exists an arc-transitive group of automorphisms of Λ that maps every cycle of \mathcal{C} to a cycle in \mathcal{C} then \mathcal{C} will be called *arc-transitive*.

Construction 2.3 ([10, Construction 11]). The input of this construction is a pair (Λ, \mathcal{C}) , where Λ is a 4-valent graph and \mathcal{C} is an arc-transitive cycle decomposition of Λ . The output is the graph $\text{Split}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$, the vertices of which are the pairs (v, C) where $v \in V(\Lambda)$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and v lies on the cycle C , and two vertices (v_1, C_1) and (v_2, C_2) are adjacent if and only if either $C_1 \neq C_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$, or $C_1 = C_2$ and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is an edge of C_1 .

Note that $\text{Split}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ is a cubic graph. We now consider a very important cycle decomposition of $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$:

Definition 2.4. Let $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{s-1}$, let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ and let $C_{\underline{n}, x}$ be the cycle of length four of $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ given by

$$((0, \underline{n}, x), (\underline{n}, 0, x+1), (1, \underline{n}, x), (\underline{n}, 1, x+1)).$$

Then $\mathcal{C} := \{C_{\underline{n}, x} \mid \underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{s-1}, x \in \mathbb{Z}_r\}$ is a cycle decomposition of $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ into cycles of length four called the *natural* cycle decomposition of $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$. As the arc-transitive action of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ wr } D_r$ on $\text{PX}(2, r, s)$ induces a transitive action on \mathcal{C} , we see that \mathcal{C} is arc-transitive. The graph $\text{Split}(\text{PX}(2, r, s), \mathcal{C})$ is simply denoted by $S(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$.

It is not hard to see that the graph $S(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$ can also be described in the following way: its vertex-set is $\mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \{+, -\}$ and its edge-set is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{ (n_0, \dots, n_{s-1}, x, +), (n_1, \dots, n_s, x+1, -) \} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, x \in \mathbb{Z}_r \} \cup \\ & \{ \{ (n_0, \dots, n_{s-1}, x, +), (n_0, \dots, n_{s-1}, x, -) \} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, x \in \mathbb{Z}_r \}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the wreath product $W := \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ wr } D_r = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ has a faithful action on $V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \{+, -\}$. Namely, for $g = (g_0, \dots, g_{r-1}, h) \in W$ (with $g_0, \dots, g_{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $h \in D_r$), we have

$$(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, x, \pm)^g = \begin{cases} (n_0^{g_x}, n_1^{g_{x+1}}, \dots, n_{s-1}^{g_{x+s-1}}, x^h, \pm) & \text{if } h \in \mathbb{Z}_r, \\ (n_0^{g_x}, n_1^{g_{x+1}}, \dots, n_{s-1}^{g_{x+s-1}}, x^h, \mp) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the indices are taken modulo r . It is easy to check that W is a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of $S(\text{PX}(p, r, s))$.

The graphs $S(PX(2, r, s))$ have appeared before in the literature, see for example [4, Section 3] and [9, Corollary 1.5]. In fact, most of the effort in [4] is spent proving a variant of Theorem 1.2. It seems the authors were unaware of Theorem 1.1, which might have made their work easier.

Lemma 2.5. *Up to conjugacy in $\text{Aut}(PX(2, r, s))$, the natural cycle decomposition of $PX(2, r, s)$ is the unique arc-transitive cycle decomposition of $PX(2, r, s)$ into cycles of length four.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda = PX(2, r, s)$, let $W = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ and let \mathcal{C} be an arbitrary arc-transitive cycle decomposition of Λ into cycles of length four. We show that \mathcal{C} is conjugate to the natural cycle decomposition of Λ under $\text{Aut}(\Lambda)$.

Suppose first that $r \neq 4$. In this case, we actually prove that \mathcal{C} is the natural cycle decomposition. By [13, Theorem 2.13], we have $\text{Aut}(\Lambda) = W$. Let π be the canonical projection from $V(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_r$ to \mathbb{Z}_r .

Suppose that, for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $|\pi(C)| = 2$. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and write $C = (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ with $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V(\Lambda)$. Then $\pi(C) = \{x, x+1\}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ and, replacing (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) by (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_0) if necessary, we may assume that $\pi(v_0) = \pi(v_2) = x$ and $\pi(v_1) = \pi(v_3) = x+1$. Thus $v_0 = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, x)$, $v_1 = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s, x+1)$, $v_2 = (1-n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, x)$ and $v_3 = (n_1, \dots, n_{s-1}, 1-n_s, x+1)$, for some $n_0, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Replacing (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) by (v_2, v_3, v_0, v_1) and (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) by (v_0, v_3, v_2, v_1) if necessary, we may assume that $n_0 = n_s = 0$. Thus $C = C_{\underline{n}, x}$ where $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_{s-1})$. Since C is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{C} we have shown that \mathcal{C} is the natural cycle decomposition of Λ .

Suppose now that we have $|\pi(C)| \geq 3$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, C contains a 2-path P such that $\pi(P) = (x, x+1, x+2)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Since \mathcal{C} is preserved by an arc-transitive group of automorphisms of Λ , there exists $g \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ such that g acts on C as a one-step rotation. As $\text{Aut}(\Lambda) = W$, we have $g = (g_0, \dots, g_{r-1}, h)$, for some $g_0, \dots, g_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $h \in D_r$. Up to replacing g by its inverse, we may assume that $\pi(P^g) = (x+1, x+2, x+3)$. In particular, h has order r . Since C is a 4-cycle and $r \neq 4$, this is a contradiction.

If $r = 4$ then $1 \leq s \leq 3$ and there are only three graphs to consider: $PX(2, 4, 1)$, $PX(2, 4, 2)$ and $PX(2, 4, 3)$. The statement can then be checked case-by-case, either by hand or with the assistance of a computer. \square

Let \mathbf{K}_4 denote the complete graph on 4 vertices, $\mathbf{K}_{3,3}$ the complete bipartite graph with parts of size 3 and \mathbf{Q}_3 the 3-cube. We now prove Theorem 1.2, which we restate for convenience.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a connected cubic G -vertex-transitive graph. If G has an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular then Γ is isomorphic to one of \mathbf{K}_4 , $\mathbf{K}_{3,3}$, \mathbf{Q}_3 or $S(PX(2, r, s))$ for some $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq r-1$.*

Proof. Let $v \in V(\Gamma)$, let N be an abelian normal subgroup of G that is not semiregular and let p be a prime dividing $|N_v|$. Note that the subgroup of N generated by the elements of order p is elementary abelian, is not

semiregular and is characteristic in N , and thus normal in G . In particular, replacing N by this subgroup, we may assume that N is an elementary abelian p -group. Note also that as N is abelian and not semiregular, N is intransitive. Furthermore, since Γ is cubic and connected, G_v is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group, and hence $p \in \{2, 3\}$.

Suppose that $p = 3$. Since N is not semiregular, we have $N_v \neq 1$ hence $|N_v^{\Gamma(v)}|$ is divisible by 3 and therefore $N_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is transitive. Let $u \in \Gamma(v)$. Since G is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, $N_u^{\Gamma(u)}$ is transitive hence every neighbour of u is in v^N . Thus every vertex at distance 2 from v is in v^N . As N is abelian, N_v fixes v^N pointwise and, since $N_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is transitive, this implies that every neighbour of v has the same neighbourhood. Therefore $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{K}_{3,3}$.

Suppose that $p = 2$. Since N is not semiregular, we have $N_v \neq 1$ and hence $|N_v^{\Gamma(v)}| = 2$. Since $N_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is normal in $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ this implies that $|G_v^{\Gamma(v)}| = 2$. In particular, v has a unique neighbour v' such that $G_v = G_{v'}$. It easily follows that G has two orbits on edges, one of which is $\mathcal{T} := \{\{v^g, (v')^g\} \mid g \in G\}$. Note that \mathcal{T} is a perfect matching of Γ and that removing \mathcal{T} from the edges of Γ leaves a union of pairwise disjoint cycles of the same length, say k .

Let $u \in \Gamma(v)$ with $u \neq v'$, let C be the cycle of $\Gamma - \mathcal{T}$ containing u and v , and observe that C is a block of imprimitivity for G and hence also for N . Note that N_u and N_v act on C as reflections fixing adjacent vertices. Therefore $\langle N_v, N_u \rangle$ fixes C setwise, and the permutation group induced by $\langle N_v, N_u \rangle$ on C is either D_k (when k is odd) or $D_{k/2}$ (when k is even). Since N is abelian, it follows that $k = 4$.

Suppose that Γ is a circular ladder graph, that is, Γ is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of a cycle of length $n \geq 3$ with a complete graph on 2 vertices. If $n = 4$ then $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Q}_3$. We thus assume that $n \neq 4$. In particular, some edges are contained in a unique 4-cycle while others are contained in more than one 4-cycle. Call the latter *rungs*. Since G has two orbits on edges and the rungs form a perfect matching, \mathcal{T} must be the set of rungs. This implies that $\Gamma - \mathcal{T}$ consists of two cycles of length n , contradicting the fact that $k = 4$.

Suppose now that Γ is a Möbius ladder graph, that is, Γ is isomorphic to the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \{1, -1, n\})$ for some $n \geq 2$. If $n = 2$ then $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{K}_4$ and if $n = 3$ then $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{K}_{3,3}$. We thus assume that $n \geq 4$ and the same argument as in the last paragraph yields again that \mathcal{T} is the set of edges that are contained in more than one 4-cycle. The removal of these leaves a cycle of length $2n$, which is a contradiction.

We may thus assume that Γ is neither a circular ladder nor a Möbius ladder graph. From now on, we adopt the terminology of [10, Section 4.1]. By [10, Lemma 9], it follows that (Γ, G) is non-degenerate (that is, for any two edges $\{u, u'\}$ and $\{v, v'\}$ in \mathcal{T} , there is at most one edge of Γ between $\{u, u'\}$ and $\{v, v'\}$).

Let $M(\Gamma, G)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, G)$ be as in [10, Construction 7] (that is, $M(\Gamma, G)$ is the (not necessarily normal) quotient graph of Γ with respect to the vertex-partition \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, G)$ is the image of the cycle decomposition of $\Gamma - \mathcal{T}$ under the canonical projection to $M(\Gamma, G)$). By [10, Theorem 10], $M(\Gamma, G)$ is a connected 4-valent G -arc-transitive graph and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, G)$ is an arc-transitive cycle decomposition of $M(\Gamma, G)$ consisting of cycles of length $k = 4$. Moreover, by [10, Theorem 12], $\Gamma \cong \text{Split}(M(\Gamma, G), \mathcal{C}(\Gamma, G))$.

Note that $1 < N_v \leq N_{\{v, v'\}}$ and thus N is not semiregular on $M(\Gamma, G)$. By Theorem 1.1, $M(\Gamma, G) \cong \text{PX}(2, r, s)$ for some $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, G)$ is conjugate to the natural cycle decomposition of $M(\Gamma, G)$ under $\text{Aut}(M(\Gamma, G))$. It follows that $\text{Split}(M(\Gamma, G), \mathcal{C}(\Gamma, G)) \cong \text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$, which completes the proof. \square

The remaining results in this section are observations about the automorphism group of $\text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$. They will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $r \geq 5$ and let $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$. Then $\text{Aut}(\text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))) = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ with the permutation representation given in Definition 2.4.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$, let $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and let v be a vertex of Γ . Note that Γ is not arc-transitive: some edges are contained in cycles of length four, others are not. Let $W = \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ wr } D_r = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ act on Γ as described in Definition 2.4. Since $W \leq G$ and $W_v \neq 1$, it follows that $|G_v^{\Gamma(v)}| = 2$.

We follow the terminology from [10, Section 4.1]. Let $M(\Gamma, G)$ be as in [10, Construction 7]. Then $M(\Gamma, G) \cong \text{PX}(2, r, s)$. By [10, Lemma 9], if (Γ, G) is degenerate then every edge of Γ is contained in a 4-cycle, which is not the case. It follows that (Γ, G) is not degenerate and thus, by [10, Theorem 10], G acts faithfully as a group of automorphisms of $M(\Gamma, G)$, that is, $G \leq \text{Aut}(M(\Gamma, G)) \cong \text{Aut}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$. By [13, Theorem 2.13], $\text{Aut}(\text{PX}(2, r, s)) = W$ and thus $W = G$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let $r \geq 5$, let $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ and let G be a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of $\text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$. Then G contains a semiregular element of order at least r .*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$. We use the definition of $\text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r, s))$ from Definition 2.4 so that $V(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_r \times \{+, -\}$. By Lemma 2.6 we have that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$. From Definition 2.4, we see that the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ on $V(\Gamma)$ induces a regular action of D_r on $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \{+, -\}$.

Let $\pi : \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \rightarrow D_r$ be the natural projection. Since G acts transitively on $V(\Gamma)$, we obtain that G projects surjectively onto D_r , that is, $\pi(G) = D_r$. Therefore, G contains an element $g = (g_0, \dots, g_{r-1}, h)$ with $g_0, \dots, g_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and h an element of order r in D_r . Clearly, g has order a multiple of r and a computation yields that $g^r = (x, \dots, x, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes D_r$ where $x = g_0 + g_1 + \dots + g_{r-1}$. If $x = 0$ then $g^r = 1$ and g is a semiregular element of order r . If $x = 1$ then $g^r = (1, \dots, 1, 1)$ is a semiregular involution and hence g is semiregular of order $2r$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Theorem 1.3. *There exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that, if Γ is a connected G -vertex-transitive cubic graph of order n then G contains a semiregular subgroup of order at least $f(n)$.*

Proof. Our proof uses the abelian normal quotient method and Theorem 1.2. We argue by contradiction and hence we begin by assuming that there exists no such function f . This means that there exist a constant c and an infinite family $\mathcal{F} = \{(\Gamma_k, G_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, with Γ_k a connected G_k -vertex-transitive cubic graph, such that $\sup\{|\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_k)| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$ and every semiregular subgroup of G_k has order at most c .

For every k , let M_k be a normal subgroup of G_k of maximal cardinality subject to Γ_k/M_k being cubic and let $\mathcal{F}^* = \{(\Gamma_k/M_k, G_k/M_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Observe that M_k coincides with the kernel of the action of G_k on M_k -orbits and that M_k is semiregular. In particular, $|M_k| \leq c$ and moreover, if H_k/M_k is a semiregular subgroup of G_k/M_k in its action on $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_k/M_k)$, then H_k is semiregular. It follows that Γ_k/M_k is a connected G_k/M_k -vertex-transitive cubic graph such that $\sup\{|\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_k/M_k)| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{|\mathcal{V}(\Gamma_k)|/|M_k| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$ and every semiregular subgroup of G_k/M_k has order at most $c/|M_k| \leq c$. Replacing \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{F}^* , we may thus assume that for every non-trivial normal subgroup M_k of G_k , the normal quotient Γ_k/M_k has valency less than three.

Replacing \mathcal{F} by a subfamily, we may also assume that one of the following occurs:

- (1) for every k , G_k has no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups;
- (2) for every k , G_k has an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular;
- (3) for every k , every abelian normal subgroup of G_k is semiregular and G_k has at least one such subgroup.

Case 1. For every k , G_k has no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups.

In this case, the socle of G_k is a direct product of nonabelian simple groups, that is, $\text{soc}(G_k) = T_{k,1} \times \cdots \times T_{k,t_k}$, where $T_{k,1}, \dots, T_{k,t_k}$ are nonabelian simple groups. For every k and $j \in \{1, \dots, t_k\}$, by Burnside's Theorem there exists a prime $p_{k,j} \geq 5$ dividing $|T_{k,j}|$, and hence there exists $x_{k,j} \in T_{k,j}$ with $|x_{k,j}| = p_{k,j}$. Since the stabiliser of a vertex of Γ_k is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group, we get that $H_k = \langle x_{k,1} \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{k,t_k} \rangle$ is a semiregular subgroup of G_k of order $\prod_j p_{k,j} \geq 5^{t_k}$. Thus $t_k \leq \log_5(c)$.

Using the CFSG, it can be shown that there exists a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that if T is a nonabelian simple group of order n then T contains an element t of order at least $g(n)$ and coprime to 6 (see for example [14, Lemma 3.5]). Since $T_{k,j}$ has no element of order larger than c and coprime to 6, we get $g(|T_{k,j}|) \leq c$. It follows that there exists a constant b such that $|T_{k,j}| \leq b$ for every k and $j \in \{1, \dots, t_k\}$.

We have shown that $|\text{soc}(G_k)| \leq b^{\log_5(c)}$ for every k . As the action of G_k on $\text{soc}(G_k)$ by conjugation is faithful, G_k is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\text{soc}(G_k))$ and hence, since G_k is vertex-transitive, $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| \leq |G_k| \leq |\text{Aut}(\text{soc}(G_k))| \leq (b^{\log_5(c)})!$. This contradicts the fact that $\sup\{|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$.

Case 2. For every k , G_k has an abelian normal subgroup that is not semiregular.

Replacing \mathcal{F} by a subfamily, we may assume that $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| > 32$ for every k . By Theorem 1.2, it follows that Γ_k is isomorphic to $\text{S}(\text{PX}(2, r_k, s_k))$ for some $r_k \geq 5$ and $1 \leq s_k \leq r_k - 1$. Now, from Corollary 2.7 we get $r_k \leq c$ and hence $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| = 2^{s_k} r_k \leq 2^{c-1} c$. This contradicts the fact that $\sup\{|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$.

Case 3. For every k , every abelian normal subgroup of G_k is semiregular and G_k has at least one such subgroup.

Replacing \mathcal{F} by a subfamily, we may assume that $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| > 2c$ for every k . Let N_k be an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G_k . Note that N_k is elementary abelian and semiregular and hence $|N_k| \leq c$. Since $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| > 2c$, it follows that N_k has at least three orbits and, since $N_k \neq 1$, the graph Γ_k/N_k has valency at most two and hence is a cycle of length $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)|/|N_k| \geq |\text{V}(\Gamma_k)|/c$.

Let K_k be the kernel of the action of G_k on N_k -orbits and let C_k be the centraliser of N_k in K_k . As N_k is abelian, we have $N_k \leq C_k$. Also, as N_k and K_k are normal in G_k , so is C_k . Since N_k is abelian and K_k preserves the N_k -orbits setwise, we must have $C_k^\Delta = N_k^\Delta$ for each N_k -orbit Δ . It follows that the commutator $[C_k, C_k]$ fixes each N_k -orbit pointwise and hence $[C_k, C_k] = 1$. Thus C_k is abelian and hence semiregular. For $v \in \text{V}(\Gamma_k)$, we have $K_k = N_k(K_k)_v$. As $N_k \leq C_k \leq K_k$, this implies that $C_k = N_k$, that is, $\mathbf{C}_{K_k}(N_k) = N_k$.

Since $|N_k| \leq c$, we have $|G_k : \mathbf{C}_{G_k}(N_k)| \leq |\text{Aut}(N_k)| \leq c!$. Thus $|G_k/K_k : K_k \mathbf{C}_{G_k}(N_k)/K_k| \leq c!$. Recall that G_k/K_k acts faithfully and vertex-transitively on the cycle Γ_k/N_k and thus contains a 2-step rotation. Since $|G_k/K_k : K_k \mathbf{C}_{G_k}(N_k)/K_k| \leq c!$, it follows that $\mathbf{C}_{G_k}(N_k)$ contains an element g_k acting as an ℓ_k -step rotation of Γ_k/N_k with $\ell_k \leq (2c!)$. Now, $g_k^{\ell_k} \in K_k \cap \mathbf{C}_{G_k}(N_k) = \mathbf{C}_{K_k}(N_k) = N_k$ and hence $g_k^{\ell_k}$ is semiregular, and so is g_k . It follows that $\langle g_k \rangle$ is a semiregular subgroup of G_k of order at least $|\text{V}(\Gamma_k/N_k)|/(2c!) \geq |\text{V}(\Gamma_k)|/(2cc!)$. Since $\sup\{|\text{V}(\Gamma_k)| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$, this is our final contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Cameron (ed.), Problems from the Seventeenth British Combinatorial Conference, *Discrete Math.* **231** (2001), 469–478.
- [2] P. Cameron, M. Giudici, G. Jones, W. Kantor, M. Klin, D. Marušič, L. A. Nowitz, Transitive permutation groups without semiregular subgroups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 325–333.

- [3] P. Cameron, J. Sheehan, P. Spiga, Semiregular automorphisms of vertex-transitive cubic graphs, *European J. Combin.* **27** (2006), 924–930.
- [4] E. Dobson, A. Malnič, D. Marušič, L. A. Nowitz, Semiregular automorphisms of vertex-transitive graphs of certain valencies, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* **97** (2007), 371–380.
- [5] M. Giudici, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, Analysing finite locally s -arc transitive graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 291–317.
- [6] M. Giudici, J. Xu, All vertex-transitive locally-quasiprimitive graphs have a semiregular automorphism, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **25** (2007), 217–232.
- [7] C. H. Li, Semiregular automorphisms of cubic vertex-transitive graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 1905–1910.
- [8] Š. Miklavič, P. Potočnik, S. Wilson, Arc-transitive cycle decompositions of tetravalent graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **98** (2008), 1181–1192.
- [9] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Bounding the order of the vertex-stabiliser in 3-valent vertex-transitive and 4-valent arc-transitive graphs, arXiv:1010.2546v1 [math.CO].
- [10] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret, Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to 1280 vertices, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **50** (2013), 465–477.
- [11] C. E. Praeger, Imprimitive symmetric graphs, *Ars Combin.* **19 A** (1985), 149–163.
- [12] C. E. Praeger, An O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* **47** (1993), 227–239.
- [13] C. E. Praeger, M. Y. Xu, A Characterization of a Class of Symmetric Graphs of Twice Prime Valency, *European J. Combin.* **10** (1989), 91–102.
- [14] P. Spiga, Semiregular elements in cubic vertex-transitive graphs and the restricted Burnside problem, arXiv:1211.7335 [math.CO].
- [15] P. Spiga, G. Verret, On the order of vertex-stabilisers in vertex-transitive graphs with local group $C_p \times C_p$ or $C_p \wr C_2$, arXiv:1311.4308 [math.CO].
- [16] G. Verret, On the order of arc-stabilisers in arc-transitive graphs, II, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **87** (2013), 441–447.
- [17] G. Verret, Arc-transitive graphs of valency 8 have a semiregular automorphism, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, accepted.

JOY MORRIS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE, LETHBRIDGE, AB. T1K 3M4. CANADA
E-mail address: joy@cs.uleth.ca

PABLO SPIGA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITY OF MILANO-BICOCCA, VIA COZZI 53, 20125 MILANO, ITALY
E-mail address: pablo.spiga@unimib.it

GABRIEL VERRET, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICS OF SYMMETRY AND COMPUTATION, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA, 35 STIRLING HIGHWAY, CRAWLEY, WA 6009, AUSTRALIA.
 ALSO AFFILIATED WITH : UP FAMNIT, UNIVERSITY OF PRIMORSKA, GLAGOLJAŠKA 8, 6000 KOPER, SLOVENIA.
E-mail address: gabriel.verret@uwa.edu.au